

**From:** [Alec Uzemeck](#)  
**To:** [Rohlfes, Larry@DTSC](mailto:Rohlfes.Larry@DTSC)  
**Cc:** [Ronald Ziman](#); [Abe Weitzberg](#); [Tom Nachtrab](#); [John Luker](#)  
**Subject:** Additional SSFL CAG Information for the IRP  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 11, 2016 1:12:19 PM

---

During the past three years the CAG has established communication channels with residents, businesses, councils, agencies and the RP's. and this outreach would be lost or impeded if the CAG system were to be dismantled as the Workgroup demands. The Workgroup has monopolized the public information for decades and only objects to the CAG because we present a scientific rebuttal to their fearmongering. It is important that the community have access to receive and input information to DTSC and the CAG system fulfills this role as a communications channel.

Another complaint that has been made, asserts that there is a lack of diversity in the SSFL CAG. If the complaint refers to members, the DTSC CAG handbook says "the membership shall reflect the composition of the affected community and the diversity of interests of the community by including the following types of individuals etc". We believe we have this diversity and you can read all of the member backgrounds on the SSFLCAG.net website.

If the complaint talks about the diversity of opinions, we have taken actions to address this issue. All members of the Workgroup were invited to join the CAG, and they refused. Numerous invitations for membership or to speak at our monthly meetings have generally not been accepted with the single instance that Christina Walsh spoke at our meeting several months ago. We are continuing to reach out for those with differing cleanup opinions.

Alec Uzemeck, Co Chair SSFL CAG