

From: [Christina Walsh](#)
To: [Singh, Mike@DTSC](#); [Lee, Barbara@DTSC](#); [Kracov, Gideon@DTSC](#); [Rohlfes, Larry@DTSC](#)
Cc: [Rodriguez, Matthew@EPA](#); [Cope, Grant@EPA](#); [dduffield@psr-la.org](#); [cbghirsch@aol.com](#); [Marie Mason](#); [Holly Huff](#); [Erreca, Erik@DTSC](#)
Subject: I expect my presentation you cut off, to be posted on IRP website
Date: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 10:39:51 AM

Please confirm since my multiple requests to meet with you have been ignored, that my presentation that you cut off last night, will be promptly posted on your IRP website so it is accessible. Please also explain to me why Mike Singh relied on ME to publish the meeting? I did that for him and noticed that the DTSC notice didn't come out until just a day before the scheduled meeting (to push a low turn-out result I am assuming).

The antics I saw to cut off the public, shows that the DTSC influence is strong and your ability to be unbiased continues to be limited. My behavior was certainly not at my best, but to be truthful, I've spent 15 years watching this SHAM and the regulator NEVER stands up for anything. I thought this group would be different and had so much hope. After Mike Singh's call, I worked on my presentation and responded as requested to assure I would have time to make my presentation and my point — MORE THAN FIVE MINUTES.

The deadline for requests to make a presentation longer than 5 minutes was 9/14/16
My request was sent on 9/13, and I showed it to Mike at the beginning of the meeting, where he nodded as if to agree. Then Larry came up and kept asking how long my presentation would be (I have this recorded as do you)

Then when you called up the presenters, you EXCLUDED ME, and Mike kept nodding to me as if to reassure that I would be called. I was not. Not until multiple commenters and then I was cut off PRIOR to my conclusion despite these promises. WHAT IS THE POINT OF YOUR GROUP IF NOT TO HEAR FROM THE PEOPLE INVOLVED????

I wonder what you learned by giving the biggest DENIER 30 minutes and then questions about the definition of the word, "Meltdown" because spending a decade arguing over that is productive? No. It is not. But you didn't seem to have questions about the complete SHAM that DTSC parades as public participation. Was the entire history of the site according to Chris Rowe on the agenda??? and we don't EVER get equal time. She said it was the greatest ever, so you had an additional 10 minutes of questions for her, and yet you couldn't afford to let me complete my sentences inside of 10 minutes. I guess those who are critical of the non-existent process don't get the same air-time. It was clear that you had, and expected none of the other board members to have a single question for me because you cut me off before conclusion despite promises to the contrary and did so at the 10 minute mark. So what are your priorities? To only hear from those who tell you that the definition of the word — Meltdown, is the problem. This shows exactly who you are and what your purpose is. There seems to be very little interest in actually fixing the problem, if you aren't even willing to define what the problem is. Just like I said in my presentation, when you ALLOW ONLY ONE SIDE THE VOICE, corruption ensues and is assured as we see here.

I also request that you disclose exactly what Chris Law was promoted to? If this board is supposed to be independent of DTSC, why were TWO of the administrators hired by DTSC prior to the completion of this contract. Doesn't that completely disqualify any remote effort to be "transparent" or independent? What is the point of hiring people to independently look at DTSC if each person you hire, is then "Promoted" to work for executive branch of DTSC??? How is that NOT pay for play?

Since this is clearly not transparent, nor is it independent from DTSC, I will be submitting my report to the Governor's office and related legislative contacts that are looking at this. The continued pay for play that goes on at this project, is astounding and truly deplorable. The very idea that you would do three "In the field" meetings inside of 48 hours and consider "Listening to the community" check-box fulfilled really shows your priorities.

Please respond with actual responses to my questions and comments. I guess DTSC is proud of starting the Trump play-book of misinformation and spin and remains the definition of a captured agency.

Disgusted,

Christina Walsh

cleanuprocketdyne.org
savesantanusana.org
@cwalshCURO
8189225123