

From: christinawalshconsultant@grace-inc.org
To: Rainey.Laura@DTSC; Lee.Barbara@DTSC; Smith.Kim@DTSC; [Mary.Aycock](mailto:Mary.Aycock@epa.gov); henning.loren@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Rubin.Marcia@DTSC; Malinowski.Mark@DTSC; Rohlfes.Larry@DTSC; Erreca.Erik@DTSC; Cope.Grant@EPA
Subject: We need answers from DTSC
Date: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 5:26:26 PM

Follow up since I continue to be attacked if I participate or ask questions on the CAG website, and vilifying public members seems to be okay as long as DTSC doesn't show up to meetings and allows essentially the wild-west of political antics to continue relentlessly.

I have written, called, and spoken to you live about assessing penalties since the polluters have been out of compliance of the 2007 Order since December 2013 according to your own correspondence published to the communities. We need those agreements to mean something, so unless they are in FULL COMPLIANCE on the day of the meeting — April 12, 2016, it is YOUR DUTY to inform the public that they have failed to comply and penalties assessed are to be according to the signed agreement, \$15,000 per day x 365 x 2 plus four months of 2016 so that's millions and should not be ignored as you have done so far. Ignoring the compliance part of the agreement is to make it "without teeth" and that is unacceptable. You represent our safety and your agreement says groundwater monitoring and that has been "out of order" since December of 2013. If the agreement isn't followed, how do you expect groundwater protection to happen? They aren't even sampling where water goes and none of you say a word, so I have ZERO faith in DTSC's ability to carry this out responsibly and feel we need USEPA to step in, pronto. There can be no future for the site if we don't have a believable cleanup and this decade-long clown-car is not making anything better. Every time we get a new person, we start over. Now there has been a new person at Boeing since how long? And that notification is also mandated in the 2007 Order and yet, we have heard NOTHING. Another failure.

We want to know why the USEPA Rad Survey, which is arguably the best and most comprehensive EVER undertaken, has now essentially been erased and replaced with crazy revenge science and now we're talking backyard gardens for the whole site and DTSC STILL has not given a credible response as to why they are selling "residential" to mean as long as you don't grow anything. That is NOT what the public understands and that is thanks to your continued failure related to public participation and presentation of accurate and defensible information.

Why are public meetings being held without regulators involved? By allowing the two fringe edges to lead the discussion with no interference whatsoever from DTSC, means that the public is then incapable of being able to navigate the misinformation. This is YOUR doing, DTSC, and I believe purposefully so, in order to reduce the number of active community participants — you basically make the process impossible to follow unless it is made into a full-time job. Well I have a full-time job and I don't have time to make it to every single meeting, but the misinformation continues to abound with no help from DTSC to improve that understanding.

We need the public to be aware of it, clearly, not in a secret hidden white paper that just talks about fifty years ago, that no one can find or see anywhere. We need digestible information that is in ENGLISH, not acronyms and talks about hazards, not acronyms. And yes, it should also be in Spanish for ESL community members. We have a diverse community and you should treat us as such. Meetings shouldn't be titled with building numbers that no one relates to in the public. This needs to say "NUCLEAR ACCIDENT at SANTA SUSANA -

RADIATION and CHEMICAL CLEANUP. Not mystery-speak. We want credible summaries of the report PRESENTED TO THE PUBLIC with EPA present and assisting (since we can no longer believe DTSC and since DTSC doesn't even show up to any of the WORKGROUP or CAG meetings enabling the hillbilly feud of fantasy and fear to lead the way instead of facts and science that we spent \$43 million dollars of the ARRA taxpayer monies on. We expect that report to be the overriding TRUTH and what the public sees and understands of the impacts and requirements for cleanup today. NOT Dan Hirsch Shows or the CAG denial brochures. The CAG is supposed to provide access to getting the public's questions answered, and instead they are having booths at public events distributing the Boeing Calendar and a Denial tri-fold brochure which I have brought to the attention of DTSC, yet DTSC seems to feel that the CAG can distribute whatever version they like, regardless of accuracy and that they can do so under the DTSC authority, which they actively express to the public as they distribute their lies. Topping it with secret funding of \$30000, it is time for DTSC to do it's job and stop hiding behind the polluter and the lobbyists. We deserve better from you.

On a separate but important note: Who's congressional district is Exide in? I believe I saw a video of Loretta Sanchez speaking out about her concerns with this, and I'm doing senate race work for her and want to make sure she understands all the facts as they relate to the issue of public participation and answers related to blood-levels in children living around the Exide plant.

Who at DTSC is responsible for the Exide fiasco (meaning who is on the project team and what are their phone numbers and email addresses so that they may be direction communicated with. The surrounding communities don't seem to be getting the attention and action needed. I need this question answered because I want to direct my questions about Exide to the appropriate person and not to you.

This is of great concern to me. I think it's important to look into these failures as they relate to DTSC's overall failures at many cleanup sites, all with the same theme of misinformation and making things as difficult as possible for the affected community to be able to navigate the credible information and get their questions answered. It's a systemic problem. When people go to meetings for information, they aren't trying to learn how to work for DTSC, they are trying to learn about whether they are safe or not, and presenting them with purposefully technical and difficult to understand information about how toxicology works isn't giving them that answer. They deserve that answer, not misinformation about what the 95 upper confidence level means. They should be presented with USEFUL information that relates to the impacts around them and what they mean, not how to become a toxicologist. I won't be at the meeting on the 12th because I will be in DC, but request that you provide me digital versions of ALL the posters and written and powerpoint material presented and well as a clear explanation as to who is responsible for this project that signs the approvals as mandated by the 2007 Consent Order for Corrective Action.

Additionally,

I think those people deserve justice and action, not more neglect from DTSC while being given the runaround. They need blood level ANSWERS not more questions.

Thanks as always,
Christina Walsh
West Hills, CA 91304 8189225123

cleanuprocketdyne.org
#SSFLNationalMonument

Christina Walsh
Digital Director
Loretta Sanchez for U.S. Senate
Loretta.org
GRACE-INC.org
<http://endchildpovertyca.org>
8189225123
@cwalshCURO

From: christinawalshconsultant@grace-inc.org
To: Lee_Barbara@DTSC
Cc: Smith_Kim@DTSC; Cope_Grant@EPA; Erreca_Erik@DTSC; Rohlfes_Larry@DTSC; Mary_Aycock;
henning.loren@epamail.epa.gov; Teresa.M.Rochester; Melissa.Simon; Cindi.Gortner; fran.pavley@sen.ca.gov;
Russell.Dusty
Subject: SSFL CAG Brochure of Denial
Date: Monday, April 11, 2016 1:40:17 PM
Attachments: [scan0005.pdf](#)

Dear Barbara —

You told me that you talked to the CAG and that they understood their role. That continues to NOT be the case, so the only resolution that makes sense is for you to honor the THREE legitimate petitions I submitted under Chapter 6.8 of the Health and Safety Code, and assemble a legitimate ADVISORY GROUP that adheres to the rules set forth in Chapter 6.8. As you pointed out, the Exide Advisory is NOT a 6.8 CAG but this is, and therefore must adhere to the rules and guidance set forth by Chapter 6.8.

As you can see their brochure DOES claim they are formed by DTSC and it DOES lie about the cleanup and offers only ONE point of view as do 100% of every meeting they have held since they tossed everyone out who wanted cleanup. How can you call this a 6.8 CAG, and if it isn't and you only whisper that to me instead of telling the community who is told otherwise by their misrepresentations every single month.

For your lawyers to tell you that you don't have to follow 6.8 and neither does the CAG is astonishing. That is to say that our community isn't allowed to have justice or accurate information. That has been made impossible by your allowing the CAG to operate as yours and Boeing's surrogate.

On April 12th at your public meeting I expect DTSC to tell the truth about the CAG and to tell the truth about public process and take it seriously by providing the public with tangible real information so that their only source is NOT CNBC's Camp Coverup or The CAG's denial brochure. This is on you because this is the same brochure they distribute THOUSANDS of copies at every public event. At this last one, it was CNP Earth Day and the other thing they distributed is a flyer calling the cleanup "Dangerous" with a picture of a TRUCK called AOC. I'll scan that one next. Most of all, I find it incredibly disappointing that all the regulating of this process has to be done by ME because your people refuse to hold the process accountable so that the people can have trust in the information they are getting. The THIRD piece of information they distributed is a REBUTTAL to the NBC's Nuclear Secret Story instead of anything about the actual cleanup, actual facts, actual process — ALL of the actual process was completely omitted from their presentation to the public as ALWAYS.

At your public meeting on April 12, it is your duty to inform the community that the CAG no longer acts within the boundaries of the CAG program as set forth by the State of California. Anything less, and that means DTSC is actively protecting the corruption of this process and I do not feel that is appropriate for DTSC to do.

You know this, you are aware of this and I will hold your agency accountable for these lies to our community, they are done on YOUR watch. DTSC is responsible for providing factual information, not scared-tactics designed to make people fear cleanup as the brochures distributed by the CAG have done.

The question is, do you allow this perversion of the CAG program to benefit the Workgroup, or at their behest? That's what I'm thinking. If that is not the case, they should be firmly supportive of my request where an Advisory Group includes ALL sides, not just the two polarized edges. It's time for DTSC to be held accountable for the mess DTSC created through inaction and inability to lead this process since agreements you are supposed to be implementing, were signed. The one agreement that holds the parties all together is not being complied with, and that continues without response from DTSC. How are the cleanup agreements supposed to be believable if DTSC isn't even trying to enforce them and implement an actual cleanup. We aren't safer from just words, we aren't safer until there is cleanup and proper monitoring from where the water flows every time it rains.

I look forward to your timely written response to these questions and concerns that I have outlined time and time again, and yet to receive any substantive response that addresses these very real issues.

Thank you in advance,
Christina Walsh

8189225123

From: christinawalshconsultant@grace-inc.org
To: Lee_Barbara@DTSC; Smith_Kim@DTSC; Rubin_Marcia@DTSC; henning.loren@epamail.epa.gov; Rohlfes_Larry@DTSC; Erreca_Erik@DTSC; Rainey_Laura@DTSC
Cc: Cope_Grant@EPA; mccarthy.gina@epamail.epa.gov; Dassler_David_W; Allen_Elliott; Stephanie_Jennings; John_Jones; [ZORBA_PETER_D_\(HQ-AI020\)](mailto:ZORBA_PETER_D_(HQ-AI020)); [MANES_LORI_\(MSFC-AS01\)\[GREAT_SOUTHERN_ENGINEERING1](mailto:MANES_LORI_(MSFC-AS01)[GREAT_SOUTHERN_ENGINEERING1); john.alford@mail.house.gov; Owens_Cassandra@Waterboards; Ali_Mazhar@Waterboards; Mary_Aycock; Sam_Cohen; Carpenter_Paul@DTSC; Paulson_Roger@DTSC; Abrams_Gerard@DTSC; Seckington_Tom@DTSC; Sharon_Sekhon
Subject: Please add this detailed question to my list for our meeting with DTSC | Exide and Santa Susana Field Laboratory
Date: Thursday, April 07, 2016 9:09:19 PM

http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/HazardousWaste/Projects/upload/SCAQMD_Exide-Advisory-Group-Fact-Sheet-052715-FINAL-FINAL.pdf

Why does Exide have an Advisory Group that has rules requiring diverse opinions and access to information for the surrounding affected public?

Why don't we have that here for the Santa Susana Field Laboratory cleanup?

Why do these rules not apply to the CAG?

What's going on here? — Why does DTSC continue to refuse to do the public communication job necessary to get this right instead of letting the CAG and Mr. Hirsch lead the narrative with lies, innuendo, misinformation and lacking context?

I have had numerous meetings with DTSC about this, yet just last Sunday, the misdirection by the CAG continued as they tabled at a public "EarthDay" event and distributed a denial brochure that clearly goes against the guidelines set forth by DTSC as an Advisory Group. Adding the word, "Community" does mean that lying to the public is then allowed or acceptable. These are toxic substances in massive amounts that have been sitting for decades despite DTSC's clear knowledge of the existence of the toxins, as well as the harmfulness of those toxins. Claiming it's magic and doesn't adhere to the laws of physics is NOT acceptable and should not be used as an excuse for inaction any longer.

Since I petitioned legitimately THREE TIMES for a CAG according to the rules and application set forth by DTSC, I believe it's time for DTSC to follow their own rules and guidance that is designed to keep people like those in our communities safer.

I believe based on the acceptance letter I received, signed by Debbie Raphael, the Director of DTSC at the time, that a proper Advisory Group as stipulated and described herein should be provided.

Using these rules, a new application process and designation of members representing the DIVERSE interests of the surrounding communities as I have been saying for years. Now it's time for you to follow your own rules.

Given that the current CAG has lied to the public on numerous occasions, this process should start over, with new application, making sure all sides of the issues are represented. That means West Hills people, Simi Valley people, Chatsworth people, Calabasas people, Oak Park and Thousand Oaks people, Runkle people, Dayton people, Chatsworth Lake Manor people, Woolsey Canyon people, Bell Canyon people, Canoga Park people. People need to AGREE to work with diverse views instead of the idiotic plan you have now where each view point meets separately without any oversight from the agencies whatsoever. It's stupid and it needs to stop. Beyond that, you are not following the law and this disservice has sent this cleanup sideways in a way that is literally beyond measure. The music is stopping and we need a cleanup the surrounding communities can believe in, that follows the signed agreements and does

EVERYTHING POSSIBLE to protect the cultural and natural features as well as the wildlife and human health of the surrounding public. This also needs to include the people that follow the workgroup. NOT the special interests and tabloids leading the show, but the people — Holly, Dawn, etc. — people who believe Mr. Hirsch's views and we ALL need to be part of ONE discussion instead of you dividing the community the way you have. Its a dis-service to us all and you work for us. This process is supposed to be designed to SERVE the public, and instead, we are left out of the process without a voice while the clown-car of politics continues to roll through with countless distractions that make it impossible for the public to truly navigate the information and make up their own minds of what is "best" for them. That is what the purpose of an Advisory Group and DTSC leadership — you need to #DoYourJob.

It is not legitimate to continue now for years, saying that the CAG is allowed to behave however it likes without oversight, providing misinformation to the public and providing access to only one point of view, especially when every other part of this process has strict oversight.

Clearly, you didn't just lose the CAG handbook [removing it from the website], because you actually do know about these rules and have a legitimate process for Exide as indicated in your document LINK above. **We need that same legitimate process here, for Santa Susana Field Laboratory and we need it now.** It needs to represent ALL sides, and ALL diverse views so that the signed cleanup agreements can be responsibly implemented instead of the way t's currently being used as TOOLS by TOOLS.

Instead of only listening to special interests trying to re-write nuclear disposal rules using this site, and the deniers claiming nothing happened and therefore cleanup isn't needed — it's time to #DoYourJob get the job done and take the requirements as defined within the signed agreements of BOTH 2007 and 2010 and that means sampling, monitoring, and data published and explained to the public on a regular basis. When it rains in SoCal, we expect data from every outfall. That's what oversight is.

The last WORKGROUP was equally inappropriate, wildly inaccurate and had no regulatory oversight or participation at all, instead it was a mockery, a show of fear-mongering, misdirection, and out of context claims that did nothing to further the understanding of the surrounding affected public. That's supposed to be the purpose, not a PR Circus led by CNBC and tabloid reporter, Denise Duffield of EnviroReporter.com.

It's not clear how your purpose went so badly off the rails, but it's time to set it straight and serve the community as promised in the signed agreements. My understanding is that there is a new Responsible Party leading Boeing, yet no distribution of this information has been provided to the surrounding affected public, and we need an Advisory Group NOW so that this process can be done properly and responsibly and without further misdirection and cover-up by the regulators and polluters.

It's that simple and now it's time for DTSC to do it's job, period.

This is the only way forward that does not perpetuate the money train for special interests and puts the health and and safety of the surrounding communities and taking seriously the absolute protection of all native cultural features FIRST. That means that the cleanup deadline and milestone requirements as well as monthly monitoring, rain event monitoring, groundwater depth monitoring of all constituents of concern and serious work on using in situ

alternative methods. Instead, millions have been spent “studying” alternative methods which according to the last meeting, NONE were accepted, so again, why does your monthly update not clearly state that?

- NO MORE MISDIRECTION. This needs to be simple and digestible communication by communication professionals in a clear and understandable manor. We need a cleanup we can believe in if there is any possibility for a future for the site.
- Real work has been done to make that possible. Now it’s time for DTSC to do the real work required to get there.
- We need this GUIDED by the RAD Survey conducted by USEPA, which was provided by the American Resource and Recovery Act. It is considered to be the most comprehensive radiation survey EVER conducted by EPA, and that data needs to be communicated CLEARLY to the public. NOT denial of what happened 50 years ago. We don’t care.
- This is not about what to call it, or whether workers behaved ethically or not.
- This is about contamination that is toxic and has impacted soil, as well as groundwater and needs to be addressed and resolved according to the agreements signed and according to California law, the Clean Water Act. No more excuses, that makes no one safer.

I will take this continued injustice to the surrounding public to the highest levels of government until we see responsible actions by the responsible parties and regulators so that public trust can finally be restored. You cannot continue to tell us there is no process except whatever the CAG makes up, when you do indeed have a real process that is written down, implemented and structured. You need to apply that structure and process to this very complicated site cleanup with multiple responsible parties and regulatory issues related to federal, state and county laws and ordinances.

Thank you. I hereby request answers to each of the aforementioned questions and detailed comments of concern.

Sincerely,

Christina Walsh

cleanuprocketdyne.org

8189225123

christinawalshconsultant@grace-inc.org

#SSFLNationalMonument is possible and the best way to be sure history is honored and people and the environment are protected. #NoMoreGames